# Data Dissemination in Ad Hoc Networks Based on Inter-Vehicle Communication

Lars Wischhof, Prof. Hermann Rohling {I.wischhof, rohling}@tu-harburg.de Technical University of Hamburg-Harburg, Germany





# Overview

- Vehicular Ad Hoc Networks (VANETs)
  - Advantages and Challenges
  - Standards and Applications
- Information Dissemination in VANETs
  - Segment-Oriented Data Abstraction and Dissemination
  - Adaptive Broadcast Mechanisms
- Example Application: Self-Organizing Traffic Information System
  - Simulation and Performance Evaluation
  - Prototype Implementation
- Context-Aware Packet Scheduling
  - Future Research



# VANETs: Car-to-Car and Car-to-Roadside Communication

• Car-to-Car Communication



- Self-organizing network formed by the individual cars (Vehicular Ad Hoc Network)
- Direct communication without base stations

Car-to-Roadside Communication



- Vehicle communicates with gateway at roadside (e.g. at gas station)
- Gateway provides access to conventional networks



Spectrum and Power Efficient Broadband Communications **Direct communication** 

Vehicular Ad Hoc Networks (VANETs)

- Availability independent of communication infrastructure
- No service fees
  - Service provided by vehicles themselves, no service charges

## Challenges:

Key advantages:

- Market introduction
  - During market introduction, only very low number of vehicles equipped – usual multi-hop communication not suitable

## Scalability

- Highly dynamic environment, node densities can change within seconds
- New protocols required: Mainly broadcast (not unicast), end2end congestion control?



# **IVC: Standards and Applications**

## Standards for PHY and MAC:

- Dedicated Short Range Communication (900 MHz band)
- Dedicated Short Range Communication (5.9 GHz, 802.11a R/A)
  - Based on IEEE 802.11a WLAN, tx range ≈1 km
  - Supported by > 40 of the largest automotive and electronics manufacturers
- UTRA TDD Ad Hoc (BMBF project *FleetNet*, developed by TUHH, Siemens, IANT Uni Hannover)
  - Based on Cellular UMTS standard, tx range  $\approx$ 1 km
- Extended Self-Organizing Time Division Multiple Access (E-SOTDMA) (TUHH)
  - Based on SOTDMA (standardized by ITU-T for maritime AIS)

## Applications:

- DSRC consortium proposes more than 200 applications for IVC
- Comfort applications
  - Improve passenger comfort and traffic efficiency
  - E.g.: traffic/weather information systems, gas/restaurant location and price information, Internet access
- Safety applications
  - Increase passenger safety
  - E.g.: emergency warning system, lane changing assistant, road condition warning

# **Segment-Oriented Data Abstraction**



• Roads have unique IDs, are composed of roads segments of a specific length

Spectrum and Power Efficient

- Each vehicle collects info (average speed, road conditions, weather, etc.)
- Two data values per road segment
  - Information value (e.g. average velocity)
  - Time stamp (time of measurement/age)
- Data values are stored in a local in-vehicle knowledge base
- Data abstraction on per-segment basis



- Information disseminated on per-segment basis
- Application-layer store-and-forward approach
- Each car **repeatedly broadcasts** all available information
- Exploit typical movement pattern in vehicular scenarios:



- Information disseminated on per-segment basis
- Application-layer store-and-forward approach
- Each car repeatedly broadcasts all available information
- Exploit typical movement pattern in vehicular scenarios:



Spectrum and Power Efficient

- Information disseminated on per-segment basis
- Application-layer store-and-forward approach
- Each car repeatedly broadcasts all available information
- Exploit typical movement pattern in vehicular scenarios:



Spectrum and Power Efficient

and Communications

- Information disseminated on per-segment basis
- Application-layer store-and-forward approach
- Each car **repeatedly broadcasts** all available information
- Exploit typical movement pattern in vehicular scenarios:



Spectrum and Power Efficient



10

### Example Application: Self-Organizing Traffic Information System (SOTIS)



• Conventional TIS: Centralized structure



Vehicles with SOTIS system (decentralized sensing, processing and distribution of traffic information)

• SOTIS: Decentralized distribution of Traffic and Travel Information (TTI) by self-organizing intervehicle communication

#### Available anywhere (cities and highways)

Low delay

Immediate notifications in case of dangers in the local area

**Advantages of SOTIS** 

- High level of detail Bandwidth only shared by cars in transmission range
- Traffic analysis instantly available
- Functionality available if only a very low percentage of all cars is equipped



Spectrum and Power Efficient Broadband Communications Department of Telecommunications

No infrastructure required

## **Simulation Parameters**

SOTIS Parameters:		Penetration TTI Segment Length Inter-Transmission Int. Knowledge Base		h Int.	Varied in the range of 2 - 10% 500 m (fixed) 0.5 s TTI (avg. speed) of 100 km per road	
Radio interface and propagation:		RateMACPropagationTransmission Range		1 IE F Je 1	1 Mbit/s IEEE 802.11 (broadcast → no RTS/CTS) Free-space 1000 m (corresponds to range of FN air-interface)	
Traffic Density	$\approx$ 14.5 cars/km/lane (high) $\approx$ 10.0 cars/km/lane (medium) $\approx$ 7.3 cars/km/lane (low)			Type: Desire	s of Vehicles ed Velocity	2 (15% slow, 85% regul.) 142 km/h for regular 108 km/h for slow
(exp. distributed) Road Length Lanes	<ul> <li>(high, medium, low density)</li> <li>140 km, 110 km</li> <li>2 per direction</li> </ul>			Avg. Traffi	c Sim. Cell Size	95.8 km/h (high density) 101.3 km/h (med. density) 106.4 km/h (low density) 7.5 m

COST

# Simulating SOTIS

- Feasibility of the proposed approach demonstrated using simulations
- Example: two highways, medium traffic density, 5% of cars use SOTIS Global Simulation View



 $\Rightarrow$  rapid dissemination of information in self-organizing system  $\neg$ 

Technical University of Hamburg-Harburg Department of Telecommunications

Broadband Communications



- Detailed simulations in extended version of *ns-2*
- Vehicular mobility model based on microscopic traffic simulation
- IEEE 802.11 MAC, transmission range *D<sub>TX</sub>* is varied

### Simulation A:

2x2 lane highway Medium traffic density

> \* <u>Source</u>: ddg Gesellschaft für Verkehrsdaten mbH, DEKRA Benchmark

L. Wischhof: Data Dissemination in VANETs 10-28-04

# **Adaptive Broadcast**



15

### Adaptive Broadcast:

- Basic idea: Reception of similar data causes increase of inter-transmission interval, significantly differing data causes decrease of inter-transmission interval
- Data for each segment: uniformly distributed random value (max. entropy), changed every 300s
- 5 different parameter sets for adapting the inter-transmission interval + strictly periodic reference system

Radio Interface:	Rate	1 Mbit/s
	MAC	IEEE 802.11 (no RTS/CTS)
	Propagation	Free-space
	Transmission Range	1000 m (range of FN air-interface)

### **Traffic Simulation:**

<b>SOTIS Penetration</b>	10%
TTI Segment	500 m
Default interval t <sub>def</sub>	5 s

Vehicles	≈2700
Avg. Headway (exp. distributed)	3 s
Road Length	68 km

Spectrum and Power Efficient

Velocities: Fast/Slow Lane (initial. vel, norm. distributed)		
μ	140 km/h, 90 km/h	
σ	35 km/h, 25 km/h	

L. Wischhof: Data Dissemination in VANETs

10-28-04

Performance Criterion: Average error of information about a road segment available in vehicle.



### Result

- Performance of adaptive scheme with average rate of 0.13 pkts/s/node • comparable to non-adaptive scheme with 2.0 pkts/s/node
- In average, adaptive scheme requires less than 10% of rate of the non ۲ adaptive scheme to achieve the same performance

Department of Telecommunications

17

## Demonstrator



## **Demonstrator: SOTIS Application**



## Adaptive Broadcast: Simulation vs. Prototype Results

### Simple Dynamic Scenario:

4 vehicles located all within transmission range of each other (total connectivity). At time t=0, no vehicle is active. At time  $t_i$  the *i*th vehicle is activated (i=1...4).



- Conventional approaches for congestion control cannot be used:
  - Large amounts of broadcast data  $\Rightarrow$  conventional end2end approach infeasible
  - Single application does not see all packets/total load  $\Rightarrow$  investigated heuristic adaptive broadcast technique at app. layer is not optimal if multiple apps used
  - Data sent by applications needs to be adapted on a lower layer (network layer)
- Packet scheduling: Even with standard WLAN, 3.8 MByte per encounter of 2 vehicles (rel. vel. 180 km/h) can be transferred
  - E.g. whole 282 000 km of highway + country roads in Germany @ 5 byte per segment of 500 m could be transmitted
  - Which application data to transmit? Which order? Who should transmit?
- Proposed Solution: Context-aware scheduling at network/MAC layer
  - Rate of individual applications and packet order adapted to context of vehicle

Spectrum and Power Efficient

- Optimization criterion: total utility achieved



- Distributed scheduling located between network and MAC layer
- Maintains a set of environment variables E (shared+app. specific)
   ⇒ Representation of current context of mobile node
- Applications insert utility function and update function in header
  - Generalized utility function  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{D}_k)$ Utility of sending the packet in the current context, function of environment variables **E**, and packet descriptors **D**
  - Update function  $c(E, D_k)$ New values of E if packet is sent or received, function of E and D
  - Descriptors **D** References ("pointers") to parts of the payload





200 Spectrum and Power Efficient Broadband Communications

- Node maintains estimate of average utility per byte  $\hat{\mu}_{ub}$  and number of nodes in range N in local area
- <u>Fairness</u>: Each node *i* sends with instantaneous rate  $r_i$

$$r_{i} = \frac{ub_{i}}{N\hat{\mu}_{ub}}$$
$$ub_{i} = \max_{k \in [0, K_{i}]} \frac{\mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{D}_{k}\right)}{s_{k}}$$

*K*<sub>i</sub>: total number of packets at node *I* 

 $s_k$ : size of packet k

where *ub*<sub>i</sub> is

- ⇒ Decentralized scheduling on network layer based on application layer utility (i.e. same benefits as heuristic adaptive broadcast approach)
- $\Rightarrow$  Open issues:
  - $\Rightarrow$  Required computational power to evaluate utility functions in realtime?

Spectrum and Power Efficient

 $\Rightarrow$  Optimal application dependent utility functions?



# Conclusions

- Broadband IVC improves passenger safety and comfort
- Due to specific movement pattern, vehicular ad hoc communication is **feasible** even if only a **low ratio** of all vehicles is **equipped** 
  - Broadcast + store-and-forward based data dissemination
  - Dissemination range of >50km possible even if only 2-5% equipped
- Adaptive broadcast and scheduling schemes can significantly reduce the required bandwidth (e.g. use only 10% of the bandwidth compared to non-adaptive system in simulated typical road scenarios)

Spectrum and Power Efficient

- New approaches for decentralized scheduling are required
  - Congestion control for broadcast data
  - Taking context-dependent importance into account



## **References:**

### UTRA TDD Ad Hoc and Decentralized Synchronization

- André Ebner, Hermann Rohling, Lars Wischhof, Matthias Lott and Rüdiger Halfmann. Performance of UTRA TDD ad hoc and IEEE 802.11b in Vehicular Environments. In Proceedings of the 57th IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC 03 Spring), Jeju, South Korea, Apr. 2003.
- André Ebner, Lars Wischhof, Hermann Rohling, Aspects of Decentralized Time Synchronization in ٠ Vehicular Ad hoc Networks. In Proceedings of the 1st International Workshop on Intelligent Transportation (WIT 2004), Hamburg, Germany, Mar. 2004.

### Data Dissemination and Self-Organizing Traffic Info System

- Lars Wischhof, André Ebner, Hermann Rohling. Self-Organizing Traffic Information System based on Car-to-Car Communication: Prototype Implementation. In Proceedings of the 1st International Workshop on Intelligent Transportation (WIT 2004), Hamburg, Germany, Mar. 2004.
- Lars Wischhof, André Ebner, Hermann Rohling, Matthias Lott and Rüdiger Halfmann. Adaptive Broadcast for Travel and Traffic Information Distribution Based on Inter-Vehicle Communication. In Proceedings of the IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV 2003), Columbus, Ohio, USA, Jun. 2003. ISBN 0-7803-7848-2.
- Lars Wischhof, André Ebner, Hermann Rohling, Matthias Lott and Rüdiger Halfmann. SOTIS A Self-Organizing Traffic Information System. In Proceedings of the 57th IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC 03 Spring), Jeju, South Korea, Apr. 2003.

Spectrum and Power Efficient

